Classical Lorentz-Invariant Theory of Systems with Self-Action: Lagrangian Formulation

Yu. B. Movsesyantz

Moscow Engineering - Physics Institute

Received July 28, 1981

A Lorentz-invariant theory of singular Lagrangian particle systems with selfaction, treated as a dependence of the Lagrangian upon acceleration, is developed. The Lagrangian equations and their exact special solutions are found. The first integrals of 4-momentum and angular momentum are calculated. Particles possessing weak self-action are treated as classical analogs of particles with half-integral spin.

I. The purpose of this paper is to develop a Lorentz-invariant theory of Lagrangian systems containing, besides coordinates and velocities, their derivatives of higher orders. The presence of such derivatives describes a self-action, being equivalent to the existence in the system of an exterior field whose characteristics are determined, in their turn, by derivatives of the second order. The first integrals of the 4-momentum and angular momentum are found for these systems. Systems in which the self-action is weak are studied in more detail; similar investigations have been carried out by Mathisson (1937) and Papapetrou (1951).

We show here that the admission of self-action leads to qualitatively new physical effects which do not follow from a conventional Lorentzinvariant theory in the pseudo-Euclidean space.

II. In the pseudo-Euclidean space with metric¹

$$ds^2 = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \quad \delta_{\mu\nu} \, dx^\mu \, dx^\nu \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ is Eisenhart's symbol and $\delta \mu \nu$ is Kronecker's

 ${}^{1}\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Summation over the greek indices is performed according to the Einstein rule.

symbol, we consider a particle described by an action integral of the form

$$S = -\alpha \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \mathcal{L}\left(-w_{\nu} w^{\nu}\right) ds \qquad (2)$$

Here the integration is performed along a world line between two given events, i.e., locations of the system at its initial and final positions at given time moments τ_1 and τ_2 ; α is a constant characterizing the system. Later on for simplicity we put $\alpha = 1$.

We denote 4-velocity by $u^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/ds$ and 4-acceleration by $w^{\mu} = du^{\mu}/ds$; it is obvious that

$$u_{\mu}u^{\mu} = 1, \qquad u_{\mu}w^{\mu} = 0$$
 (3)

The form (2) of the action integral is chosen for two reasons: firstly, it must be an integral of a true scalar; secondly, the relations (3) lead to the fact that the function \mathcal{L} may depend only on $(-w_{\nu}w^{\nu})$, the sign being chosen for convenience since

$$w_{\nu}w^{\nu} < 0 \tag{4}$$

It is assumed also that the integrand does not depend explicitly on coordinates and time.

We do not take into account relations (3) in advance, so when varying S no use of the method of indefinite Lagrangian multipliers has to be made.

According to the principle of least action,

$$\delta S = 0 \tag{5}$$

After variation, taking into account that the operations δ and d/ds do not commute, and representing ds as $ds = \sqrt{(dx_{\nu} dx^{\nu})^{1/2}}$, we obtain

$$\delta S = -\delta \int \mathcal{L} \, ds = -\int \delta \mathcal{L} \, ds - \int \mathcal{L} \, \delta \, ds \tag{6}$$

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \delta w^{\mu} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w^{\mu}} \right) \tag{7}$$

$$\delta w^{\mu} = \delta (du^{\mu}/ds) = d (\delta u^{\mu})/ds - (du^{\mu}/ds) (\delta ds/ds)$$
(8)

$$\delta u^{\mu} = \delta (dx^{\mu}/ds) = d(\delta x^{\mu})/ds - u^{\mu} (\delta ds/ds)$$
(9)

$$\delta ds = \frac{dx_{\mu}d(\delta x^{\mu})}{ds} = u_{\mu}d(\delta x^{\mu}) \tag{10}$$

Substituting equations (6)-(10) into (5) and taking into account that

$$\delta x^{\mu} \Big|_{s_1, s_2} = \delta u^{\mu} \Big|_{s_1, s_2} = 0 \tag{11}$$

we get

$$\delta S = -\int \delta x_{\mu} \left\{ \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\mu}} - \frac{d}{ds} \left[u^{\mu} \left(\mathcal{L} + u_{\nu} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\nu}} - w_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\nu}} \right) \right] \right\} ds = 0 \quad (12)$$

From this and from the arbitrariness of δx_{μ} , the Lagrangian equations

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\mu}} = \frac{d}{ds} \left[u^{\mu} \left(\mathcal{L} + u_{\nu} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\nu}} - w_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\nu}} \right) \right]$$
(13)

follow.

The equations (13) lead to the first integral, the 4-vector of the system's momentum:

$$p^{\mu} = u^{\mu} \left(\mathcal{L} + u_{\nu} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\nu}} - w_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\nu}} \right) - \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\mu}}$$
(14)

Let us return now to the variation δS .

When the system is moving along a true trajectory, the equations (13) are satisfied identically, and δS has a form

$$\delta S = -\int \frac{d}{ds} \left[p_{\mu} \delta x^{\mu} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\mu}} \delta u_{\mu} \right] ds$$
 (15)

where p^{μ} is determined by the expression (14).

If the system is translated infinitesimally, then

$$\delta x^{\mu} = \delta \tilde{x}^{\mu} + a^{\mu}, \qquad \delta u^{\mu} = 0 \tag{16}$$

where a^{μ} is an infinitesimal constant vector, then, as the system is closed, we come to the conservation law for the 4-momentum p^{μ} . If the system undergoes an infinitesimal rotation, we have

$$\delta x^{\mu} = \delta \Omega^{\mu\nu} x_{\nu}, \qquad \delta u^{\mu} = \delta \Omega^{\mu\nu} u_{\nu} \tag{17}$$

where $\delta \Omega^{\mu\nu} = -\delta \Omega^{\nu\mu}$ is an infinitesimal skew-symmetrical tensor (Landau

Movsesyantz

and Lifshitz, 1967)

$$\delta S = -\int \delta \Omega^{\mu\nu} \frac{d}{ds} \left[p_{\mu} x_{\nu} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w^{\mu}} u_{\nu} \right] ds \tag{18}$$

from which, taking into consideration that $\delta \Omega^{\mu\nu} = -\delta \Omega^{\nu\mu}$ is arbitrary, the system is closed and²

$$\delta\Omega^{\mu\nu}\left(p_{\left[\mu, x_{\nu\right]_{+}}} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial w}_{\left[\mu, u_{\nu\right]_{+}}}\right) := 0 \tag{19}$$

we come to the conservation law for the skew-symmetrical 4-tensor of the angular momentum of the system:

$$M^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(p^{[\nu} \cdot x^{\mu]} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{[\nu]}} u^{\mu]} \right)$$
(20)

which differs from the classical expression

$$M_{\rm or}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} p^{[\nu} x^{\mu]}$$
(21)

by the presence of a spin term

$$M_{\rm s}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\mu}} u^{\mu]}$$
(22)

III. We rewrite now equations (13) in terms of the Frenet tetrad $\langle e^{\mu}_{(\alpha)} \rangle$ which is determined by conditions

$$e_{(0)}^{\mu} = u^{\mu}, \qquad e_{(i)}^{\mu} e_{\mu(k)} = g_{(i)(k)} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1)$$

(*i*, *k* = 1, 2, 3) (23)

$$\frac{d}{ds}e^{\mu}_{(0)} = w^{\mu} = ye^{\mu}_{(1)}, \qquad \frac{d}{ds}e^{\mu}_{(1)} = ye^{\mu}_{(0)} + ze^{\mu}_{(2)}$$
$$\frac{d}{ds}e^{\mu}_{(2)} = -ze^{\mu}_{(1)} + te^{\mu}_{(3)}, \qquad \frac{d}{ds}e^{\mu}_{(3)} = -te^{\mu}_{(2)}$$
(23a)

where y, z, t are parameters of the tetrad,

$$-w_{\nu}w^{\nu}=y^{2} \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{\mu}} = -2w^{\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (-w_{\nu}w^{\nu})} = -2ye^{\mu}_{(1)} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y^{2}}$$
(25)

²Here $p_{[\nu, x_{\mu}]_{+}} = p_{\nu}x_{\mu} + p_{\mu}x_{\nu}, p_{[\nu, x_{\mu}]_{-}} = p_{\nu}x_{\mu} - p_{\mu}x_{\nu}.$

and below

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} := ' \tag{26}$$

Because of equations (22)-(26), the Lagrangian equation (13) takes the form

$$e_{(0)}^{\mu} \left[\frac{d}{ds} \left(\mathcal{L} - 2y^{2} \mathcal{L}' \right) + 2y \frac{d}{ds} \left(y \mathcal{L}' \right) \right]$$
$$+ e_{(1)}^{\mu} \left[y \left(\mathcal{L} - 2y^{2} \mathcal{L}' - 2z^{2} \mathcal{L}' \right) + 2 \frac{d^{2}}{ds^{2}} \left(y \mathcal{L}' \right) \right]$$
$$+ e_{(2)}^{\mu} \left[2z \frac{d}{ds} \left(y \mathcal{L}' \right) + \frac{d}{ds} \left(2\mathcal{L}' yz \right) \right]$$
$$+ e_{(3)}^{\mu} \left[2\mathcal{L}' yzt \right] = 0$$
(27)

so that

$$\frac{d}{ds}(\mathcal{L}-2y^{2}\mathcal{L}')+2y\frac{d}{ds}(y\mathcal{L}')=0$$
(28)

$$y(\mathcal{L} - 2y^{2}\mathcal{L}' - 2z^{2}\mathcal{L}') + 2\frac{d^{2}}{ds^{2}}(y\mathcal{L}') = 0$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$2z\frac{d}{ds}(y\mathcal{L}') + \frac{d}{ds}(2\mathcal{L}'yz) = 0$$
(30)

$$2 yzt \mathcal{L}' = 0 \tag{31}$$

Since the parameter t (having nothing to do with the time) appears only in (31), the solution of this equation is t = 0.

We shall seek a solution of the system of equations (28)-(30) in the form

$$\frac{dy}{ds} = \frac{dz}{ds} = 0 \tag{32}$$

Then equations (28) and (30) are satisfied identically, and equation (31) is transformed from a differential equation to an algebraic one which includes z as an arbitrary constant parameter.

The system of equations (28)–(30) takes the form

$$y(\mathcal{L}-2y^{2}\mathcal{L}'-2z^{2}\mathcal{L}')=0$$
(33)

Movsesyantz

One of the solutions of the equation is

$$y = 0 \tag{34}$$

This corresponds to

$$w^{\mu} = \frac{du^{\mu}}{ds} = ye^{\mu}_{(1)} = 0, \qquad u^{\mu} = \text{const}$$
 (35)

and describes a system with action integral in a form

$$S = -\int ds \tag{36}$$

with the 4-momentum

$$p^{\mu} = u^{\mu}, \qquad M^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{37}$$

If $y \neq 0$, then solutions to the equation

$$\mathcal{L} - 2y^2 \mathcal{L}' - 2z^2 \mathcal{L}' = 0 \tag{38}$$

depend on the specific form of the function $\mathcal{L}(y^2)$ which will be considered later.

Let us turn to the expression (14) for the 4-momentum p^{μ} .

Substituting the Frenet tetrad into equation (14) and using equations (32) and (38), we obtain

$$p^{\mu} = e^{\mu}_{(0)}(2\mathcal{L}'z^2) + e^{\mu}_{(2)}(2\mathcal{L}'yz)$$
(39)

It is easily seen from equation (39) that, if the torsion parameter z vanishes, then $p^{\mu} = 0$ for any form of the function \mathcal{L} .

After contraction the equation (39) takes the form

$$p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = 4\mathcal{L}'^2 z^2 (z^2 - y^2) \tag{40}$$

from which it follows that the sign of $p_{\mu} p^{\mu}$ depends on the relation between |z| and |y|.

1. Let |z| < |y|. In this case the Frenet tetrad can be chosen as

$$e_{(0)}^{\mu} = \left[\left(h_{(0)}^{\mu} \cosh \lambda + h_{(1)}^{\mu} \sinh \lambda \right) \cosh \theta + h_{(2)}^{\mu} \sinh \theta \right] \cosh \psi + h_{(3)}^{\mu} \sinh \psi$$

$$e_{(1)}^{\mu} = \left(h_{(0)}^{\mu} \cosh \lambda + h_{(1)}^{\mu} \sinh \lambda \right) \sinh \theta + h_{(2)}^{\mu} \cosh \theta$$

$$e_{(2)}^{\mu} = \left[\left(h_{(0)}^{\mu} \cosh \lambda + h_{(1)}^{\mu} \sinh \lambda \right) \cosh \theta + h_{(2)}^{\mu} \sinh \theta \right] \sinh \psi + h_{(3)}^{\mu} \cosh \psi$$
(41)

Here ψ , λ are arbitrary constant parameters, $\theta = \theta(s)(h^{\mu}_{(\alpha)})$ is a constant orthonormal tetrad of the pseudo-Euclidian manifold.

The relations (23a) take the form

$$y = \cosh \psi \frac{d\theta}{ds} \tag{42}$$

$$z = -\sinh\psi\frac{d\theta}{ds} \tag{43}$$

$$\cosh \psi = \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{y^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \qquad \sinh \psi = -\frac{z}{y} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{y^2}\right)^{-1/2}$$
(44)

Introducing the absolute time $c\tau = x^{(0)}$ and performing integration, we obtain

$$x^{(0)} = \sinh\theta(\cosh^2\psi\cosh\lambda/y), \qquad x^{(1)} = \sinh\theta(\cosh^2\psi\sinh\lambda/y)$$
$$x^{(2)} = \cosh\theta(\cosh^2\psi/y), \qquad x^{(3)} = \theta(\sinh\psi\cosh\psi/y)$$
(45)

Using equations (41)–(44), the formula (39) for p^{μ} takes a form

$$p^{\mu} = h^{\mu}_{(3)} (2\mathcal{L}' yz) (1 - z^2 / y^2)^{1/2}$$
(46)

i.e., in the laboratory reference frame p^{μ} has only one spatial component.

Let us turn now to the equations (20)–(22) for the 4-tensor of angular momentum, $M^{\mu\nu}$. We take of it the purely spatial part M^{ik} , and form the 3-vector of angular momentum³,

$$M^{i} = e^{ikl}M_{kl}, \qquad i, k, l = 1, 2, 3$$
(47)

Here e^{ikl} is the Levi-Cività symbol, completely skew-symmetrical object of the third rank. Using equations (20–(22), we find the expression for M^i :

$$M^{i} = e^{ikl} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(p_{[e, X_{k}]_{-}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w}_{[e, u_{k}]_{-}} \right)$$
(48)

It can be easily shown by direct calculation using equations (39)-(45) that the orbital part of the moment

$$M_{\rm or}^{ik} = \frac{1}{2} p^{[k, x^{i]}}$$
(49)

³Latin indices are subjected to the usual summation convention.

Movsesyantz

identically vanishes. Therefore, only the spin part

$$M_s^{ik} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w}_{[k]} u^{i]}$$
(50)

makes a contribution to the 3-vector of the angular momentum. From equation (48) we obtain

$$M^{i} = h^{i}_{(3)} \left[y \mathcal{L}' \sinh \lambda \left(1 - \frac{z^{2}}{y^{2}} \right)^{-1/2} \right]$$
(51)

2. Let |y| < |z|. In this case the Frenet tetrad can be chosen in the form

$$e_{(0)}^{\mu} = \left[h_{(0)}^{\mu}\cosh\lambda + h_{(3)}^{\mu}\sinh\lambda\right]\cosh\psi + \left[h_{(1)}^{\mu}\cos\theta + h_{(2)}^{\mu}\sin\theta\right]\sinh\psi$$
$$e_{(1)}^{\mu} = -h_{(1)}^{\mu}\sin\theta + h_{(2)}^{\mu}\cos\theta$$
$$e_{(2)}^{\mu} = \left[h_{(0)}^{\mu}\cosh\lambda + h_{(3)}^{\mu}\sinh\lambda\right]\sinh\psi + \left[h_{(1)}^{\mu}\cos\theta + h_{(2)}^{\mu}\sin\theta\right]\cosh\psi \quad (52)$$

where ψ , λ are arbitrary parameters, $\theta = \theta(s)$.

Performing similar calculations, we obtain

$$y = \sinh \psi \frac{d\theta}{ds}, \qquad z = -\cosh \psi \frac{d\theta}{ds}$$
 (53)

$$\cosh \psi = \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{z^2}\right)^{-1/2}, \qquad \sinh \psi = -\frac{y}{z} \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{z^2}\right)^{-1/2}$$
(54)

$$x^{(0)} = \theta(\cosh\lambda\cosh\psi\sinh\psi/y), \qquad x^{(1)} = \sin\theta(\sinh^2\psi/y)$$

$$x^{(2)} = -\cos\theta(\sinh^2\psi/y), \qquad x^{(3)} = \theta(\sinh\lambda\cosh\psi\sinh\psi/y) \quad (55)$$

Now the expression (14) for p^{μ} takes a form

$$p^{\mu} = \left[h^{\mu}_{(0)} \cosh \lambda + h^{\mu}_{(3)} \sinh \lambda\right] (2\mathcal{L}' z^2) \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{z^2}\right)^{1/2}$$
(56)

i.e., in this case p^{μ} contains both temporal and spatial components. For M^{ik} we obtain again

$$M_{\rm or}^{ik} = \frac{1}{2} p^{[k]} x^{i]} = 0$$
 (57)

and only the spin part

$$M_{s}^{i} = h_{(3)}^{i} \left[-\mathcal{L}' y^{2} z^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{y^{2}}{z^{2}} \right)^{-1/2} \right]$$
(58)

makes a contribution to M^i .

3. The case |z| = |y| corresponds to ds = 0 and is not considered in this paper.

IV. Let us consider specific forms of \mathcal{C} functions.

We shall restrict ourselves to the case when the self-action in a system is weak and the function $\mathfrak L$ can be represented as

$$\mathcal{L} = 1 + \varepsilon f(y^2) \tag{59}$$

Here $f(y^2)$ is an arbitrary function, and ε is a small parameter, the meaning and value of which are determined by the type of self-action in the system. Obviously, the type of the function $f(y^2)$ will determine the power of the algebraic equation (38). We shall consider the cases when the equation (38) is linear one. The functions

$$\mathcal{L} = 1 + \varepsilon \left(y^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{60}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = 1 + \varepsilon y^2 \tag{61}$$

meet this requirement.

Substituting the function (60) into equation (38) $\mathcal{L} - 2y^2\mathcal{L}' - 2z^2\mathcal{L}' = 0$, we obtain

$$1 + \varepsilon (y^2)^{1/2} - 2y^2 (\varepsilon/2) (y^2)^{-1/2} - 2z^2 (y^2)^{-1/2} = 0$$
 (62)

or

$$y = \varepsilon z^2 \tag{63}$$

We put |z| < 1. This is justified by the necessity of the limiting transition $|z| \rightarrow 0$. Then $z^2 < |z|$ and $y = \varepsilon z^2 < |z|$. Substituting equation (63) into the expressions (56) for p^{μ} and (58) for M^i we obtain

$$p^{\mu} = \left[h^{\mu}_{(0)} \cosh \lambda + h^{\mu}_{(3)} \sinh \lambda\right] \cdot \left(1 - \varepsilon^2 z^2\right)^{1/2}$$
(64)

$$M^{i} = h_{(3)}^{i} \left[-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} z}{2} \left(1 - \varepsilon^{2} z^{2}\right)^{-1/2} \right]$$
(65)

$$p_{\mu} p^{\mu} = 1 - \varepsilon^2 z^2 > 0 \tag{66}$$

Making the limiting transition in equations (63)–(66), ε , $z \to 0$ we come to the case described by equations (34)–(37).

Let us turn now to the function (61).

Substituting (63) into equation (38), we obtain

$$1 + \varepsilon y^2 - 2\varepsilon y^2 - 2\varepsilon z^2 = 0 \tag{67}$$

or

$$y^2 = \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon z^2}{\varepsilon} > z^2 \tag{68}$$

We calculate p^{μ} and M^{i} from equations (46) and (51) taking into account equation (68):

$$p^{\mu} = 2h^{\mu}_{(3)} \left(|\varepsilon|z^2\right)^{1/2} (1 - 3\varepsilon z^2)^{1/2}$$
(69)

$$M^{i} = h^{i}_{(3)} \left[|\varepsilon|^{1/2} \sinh \lambda (1 - 2\varepsilon z^{2}) (1 - 3\varepsilon z^{2})^{-1/2} \right]$$
(70)

$$p_{\mu}p^{\mu} = -4|\epsilon|z^{2}(1-3\epsilon z^{2}) < 0$$
(71)

Taking a similar limiting transition in equations (69)–(71), ε , $z \to 0$ we obtain

$$p^{\mu} = 0, \qquad M^{i} = 0 \tag{72}$$

It is worth mentioning that if only $z \to 0$, then $p^{\mu} = 0$ and

$$M^{i} = h^{i}_{(3)} \left(\sinh \lambda |\varepsilon|^{1/2} \right) \tag{73}$$

V. Turning to the discussion of the results of this paper, we conclude that the system described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = 1 + \epsilon \left(y^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

may serve as a classical analog of quantum-mechanical free particles with half-integral spin. Here the presence of a spin angular momentum is conditioned by two factors: the purely geometrical one, z (torsion), and the physical one, ε , that characterizes the type of interaction in the system. These same factors lead, independent of signs of parameters, to decreasing the square of the 4-momentum of the system.

The case described by the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = 1 + \varepsilon y^2$ does not have any classical or quantum-mechanical analogs since the usual 3-velocity in the given solution is less than the light velocity, though the squared 4-momentum is negative. This property differs drastically from the so-called "tachyon" solutions.

Systems with Lagrangians \mathcal{L} of different forms are not considered in this paper; the complication of \mathcal{L} leads to algebraic equations of higher degrees, and in the cases when the latter have real roots one comes to a model theory of interacting particles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to N. V. Mitskevich for valuable discussion, criticism, and support of the research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mathisson, M. (1937) Acta Phys. Polon., 6, 163, 218.
- 2. Papapetrou, A. (1951) Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A, 209, 248.
- 3. Landau, L. D., and Lifshitz, E. M. (1967). Field Theory, Nauka, Moscow, Russian edition.